

Concepts of C++ Programming

Lecture 11: Compile-Time Programming

Alexis Engelke

Chair of Data Science and Engineering (I25)
School of Computation, Information, and Technology
Technical University of Munich

Winter 2024/25

Attributes¹³⁶

- ▶ Almost everything can be annotated with attributes
- ▶ C++-style attributes: `[[<attribute>]]`
 - ▶ Parenthesis inside attributes must be balanced, unknown attributes ignored
- ▶ Preprocessor `__has_cpp_attribute(name)` to query support

```
#include <cassert>
int foo();
int foo(int z) {
    // Variable attribute: suppresses warning about unused variable
    int x = 5, y [[maybe_unused]] = foo();
    assert(y); // <-- in release builds, y is unused
    if (z > 10) [[likely]] // Give hint that condition is likely
        x += z * z;
    return x;
}
```

¹³⁶<https://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/language/attributes>

Function Attributes

- ▶ `[[nodiscard]]` – cause warning when function result is unused
 - ▶ Beneficial to enforce error handling etc.
- ▶ `[[deprecated(reason)]]` – cause warning when function is used
- ▶ `[[noreturn]]` – indicate that function does not return

```
#include <cassert>
[[nodiscard, deprecated("use xyz instead")]] int oldFunc();
// Second attribute is unknown and ignored, causes warning
[[noreturn, unknown_and_ignored]] void myExit();
int foo(int z) {
    oldFunc();
    myExit();
    // no warning about missing return in non-void function
}
```

Implementation-Defined Attributes

- ▶ Most attributes are implementation-defined
 - ▶ E.g., Clang¹³⁷ and GCC support hundreds of attributes

Some examples:

- ▶ `[[gnu::always_inline]]` – Always inline function when possible
- ▶ `[[clang::optnone]]` – Disable optimization for specific function
 - ▶ E.g., to ease debugging of a single function
- ▶ `[[clang::musttail]]` – Return statement must make tail call
 - ▶ Tail call = no stack frame growth for function call, useful for tail-recursive functions

¹³⁷ <https://clang.llvm.org/docs/AttributeReference.html>

[[clang::lifetimebound]]

- ▶ Indicate that return value may refer to parameter object
- ▶ Causes warning when parameter's lifetime is shorter than returned value

```
#include <print>
#include <format>
#include <string>
#include <string_view>
struct Error {
    std::string_view msg;
    Error(const std::string &msg [[clang::lifetimebound]]) : msg(msg) {}
};
int main() {
    // Construct error with temporary string...
    Error err(std::format("foo! {}", 123));
    // Warning: dangling reference
    std::println("error: {}", err.msg);
}
```

[[clang::lifetimebound]] – Example

Quiz: Which parameter/function should get the attribute?

```
struct Foo {  
    std::string msg;  
    explicit Foo(const std::string& msg) : msg(msg) {}  
    void addMsg(const std::string& add) { msg += add;}  
    const std::string& getMsg() const { return msg; }  
};
```

- A. msg
- B. msg and add
- C. getMsg (before block, due to this)
- D. getMsg, msg, and add

GNU-Style and MSVC-Style Attributes

- ▶ GNU-style: `__attribute__((attrs))`
- ▶ MSVC-style: `__declspec(attrs)`
- ▶ Much older (\rightsquigarrow more widely used) than C++ attributes
- ▶ Syntax of attributes sometimes slightly different (see manual)
- ▶ Prefer C++-style attributes when possible

Constant Expressions

- ▶ Certain language constructs require compile-time constants
 - ▶ E.g., array bounds, non-type template parameters, bit-field length, `static_assert`, enum values, ...
- ▶ So far limited to constant literals or simple expressions

```
static int return4() { return 4; }
int main() {
    const int x1 = 4;
    std::array<int, x1 + 3> arr1; // ok... (due to exception in standard)
    const int x2 = return4();
    std::array<int, x2> arr2; // Error! x2 is not a constant expression
}
```

- ▶ `const` just marks a variable as non-modifiable

constexpr¹³⁸

- ▶ **constexpr** variables – can be used as constant expressions
 - ▶ Must be initialized immediately with a constant expression
 - ▶ Implicitly **const**; some type restrictions (see reference)
- ▶ **constexpr** functions – function that is evaluable at compile-time
 - ▶ Result can be used as constant expression
 - ▶ Destructor must be **constexpr** (or trivial)

¹³⁸<https://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/language/constexpr>

constexpr – Example

```
int f(int x) { return x * x; }
constexpr int g(int x) { return x * x; }

int main() {
    const int x = 7; // constant expression
    const int y = f(x); // not a constant expression
    const int z = g(x); // constant expression

    constexpr int xx = g(x); // ok
    constexpr int yy = y; // ERROR: f(x) not constant expression
    constexpr int zz = z; // ok
}
```

constexpr – Example

Quiz: Which statement is correct?

```
constexpr int* f(int n) { return n ? new int(n) : nullptr; }
int* f1(int n) { return f(n); }
int* f2(int n) { constexpr auto r = f(0); return r; }
int* f3(int n) { constexpr auto r = f(n); return r; }
constexpr int* f4(int n) { return f(n); }
```

- A. f: heap allocation is performed at compile-time
- B. f2: f(0) is not a constant expression
- C. f3: f(n) is not a constant expression
- D. f4: must return constant expression

constexpr vs. consteval Functions

- ▶ `constexpr` functions *can* be evaluated at compile-time
 - ▶ Implicitly inline
 - ▶ When constant expression is required, must yield compile-time constant
 - ▶ Other code paths can work with non-compile-time constants
 - ▶ Can be called at runtime with dynamic values
- ▶ `consteval` functions *must* be evaluated at compile-time
 - ▶ Implicitly inline
 - ▶ *Every* function call must yield compile-time constant
 - ▶ Cannot be mixed with `constexpr`

consteval – Example

```
int sqr(int n) { return n * n; }
consteval int sqrConsteval(int n) { return n * n; }
constexpr int sqrConstexpr(int n) { return n * n; }

int main() {
    constexpr int p1 = sqr(100); // ERROR: not constexpr
    constexpr int p2 = sqrConsteval(100);
    constexpr int p3 = sqrConstexpr(100);
    int x = 100;
    int p4 = sqr(x);
    int p5 = sqrConsteval(x); // ERROR: x not constant expression
    int p6 = sqrConstexpr(x);
    int p7 = sqrConsteval(100); // compile-time
    int p8 = sqrConstexpr(100); // run-time or compile-time
}
```

constexpr/consteval and Compile-Time Execution

Quiz: Which statement is correct?

- A. Non-constexpr/consteval functions are always evaluated at runtime.
- B. When possible, constexpr functions are evaluated at compile-time.
- C. consteval functions can only include compile-time evaluable code.
- D. constexpr functions can be defined in headers without ODR violations.

Compile-Time Evaluation – Restrictions

- ▶ No undefined behavior (compile-time error)
- ▶ Calling functions that are only declared
- ▶ Accessing volatile variables
- ▶ Dynamic memory allocations that are not *delete*-ed in same expression
- ▶ Placement new (lifted in C++26)
- ▶ No reinterpret_cast
- ▶ Implementation-defined restrictions
- ▶ See reference for the full list¹³⁹

¹³⁹https://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/language/constant_expression

consteval – Example

Quiz: What is problematic about this code?

```
#include <vector>
consteval int fib(int n) {
    std::vector<int> i{0, 1};
    while (i.size() <= n)
        i.push_back(i[i.size() - 2] + i.back());
    return i[n];
}
static_assert(fib(6) == 8);
```

- A. Cannot use `std::vector` in `consteval` function
- B. Cannot use dynamic memory allocation in `consteval` function
- C. $\text{fib}(6) = 13 \neq 8$
- D. Nothing, the code compiles without errors

if constexpr¹⁴⁰

- ▶ if constexpr (...) – compile-time if
- ▶ Not-taken code paths are *discarded*
 - ▶ For templates where condition depends on template parameters: not instantiated
- ▶ Benefit over #if: syntax and large parts of semantics are checked

```
// Example from cppreference
template <typename T> auto getValue(T t) {
    if constexpr (std::is_pointer_v<T>)
        return *t; // deduces return type to int for T = int*
    else
        return t; // deduces return type to int for T = int
}
```

¹⁴⁰https://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/language/if#Constexpr_if

if consteval

- ▶ if consteval { ... } – execute block if in constant-evaluated context
- ▶ if ! consteval { ... } – if in not constant-evaluated context
- ▶ std::is_constant_evaluated() – “legacy” C++20 mechanism

```
constexpr int compute(int x) {
    if consteval {
        // use slower, constant-evaluable algorithm
    } else {
        // use faster algorithm that cannot be executed during compilation
    }
}
```

constinit¹⁴¹

- ▶ Variables with static/thread-local storage duration are either
 - ▶ ... constant-initialized, i.e., take compile-time constants
 - ▶ ... dynamically initialized, i.e., constructor called at program start-up
- ▶ constexpr enforces the former and prevents modifications
- ▶ constinit enforces the former, but allows modifications

```
constexpr int square(int n) { return n * n; }
constinit int sq5 = square(5); // mutable variable
```

¹⁴¹<https://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/language/constinit>

decltype¹⁴²

- ▶ Possibly unknown types can often be deduced with `auto`
- ▶ Sometimes, knowing the exact type of an expression is useful
 - ▶ E.g., for explicitly specifying template parameters
- ▶ `decltype(identifier/class member access)` – yield type of entity
- ▶ `decltype(expression)` – yield type of expression
 - ▶ lvalue: `T&`, xvalue: `T&&`, prvalue: `T`
 - ▶ Expression is not evaluated
- ▶ Note: `decltype(x)` and `decltype((x))` are different!
 - ▶ The first yields the type of `x`, the latter a reference as `(x)` is an lvalue

¹⁴²<https://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/language decltype>

decltype – Examples

```
#include <concepts>

int main() {
    int x;
    const short c = 12;
    static_assert(std::same_as<decltype(x), int>);
    static_assert(!std::same_as<decltype((x)), int>);
    static_assert(std::same_as<decltype((x)), int&>);

    static_assert(std::same_as<decltype(c), const short>);
    static_assert(std::same_as<decltype((c)), const short&>);
    static_assert(std::same_as<decltype(c + c), int>); // integer promotion
}
```

Interlude: Integer Promotion

- ▶ Small integer types get promoted to int before arithmetic is performed¹⁴³

Quiz: Which statement is correct?

Assume `std::same_as<int, int32_t>`.

```
#include <cstdint>
constexpr mul16(uint16_t a, uint16_t b) -> auto { return a * b; }
static_assert(std::same_as<decltype(mul16(1, 1)), int>);
static_assert(mul16(0xffff, 0xffff) == 1);
```

- A. The return type of `mul16` is `uint16_t`, deduced from return statement.
- B. The return type of `mul16` is unsigned, as `uint16_t` is unsigned.
- C. The second assertion fails, as it is not a constant expression.
- D. The program compiles successfully.

¹⁴³Simplified, but captures the important parts.

Template Meta-Programming

- ▶ Templates are instantiated during compilation
- ▶ `constexpr` makes code actually readable

```
template <unsigned N>
constexpr int templ_fib() {
    if constexpr (N <= 1)
        return N;
    else
        return templ_fib<N-2>() + templ_fib<N-1>();
}
static_assert(templ_fib<6>() == 8);
```

Template Meta-Programming, the Old Way

- ▶ Template specializations used as recursion base case

```
template <unsigned N>
constexpr int templ_fib() {
    return templ_fib<N-2>() + templ_fib<N-1>();
}

template<>
constexpr int templ_fib<0>() { return 0; }

template<>
constexpr int templ_fib<1>() { return 1; }

static_assert(templ_fib<6>() == 8);
```

Concepts

- ▶ Previously seen: type constraints with `requires` clause
 - ▶ `template <...> requires bool-constant-expr`
- ▶ Repeating requirements can be tedious
- ~~> Concept = named set of requirements

```
template <class T>
concept IntOrFloat = std::integral<T> || std::floating_point<T>;
static_assert(IntOrFloat<int>);
static_assert(!IntOrFloat<int*>);

template <IntOrFloat T> T add(T a, T b) {
    return a + b;
}
```

Concepts: Requirements

- ▶ For templates, the exact type is often hard to verify
- ▶ So far: “duck typing” – just assume that method/operator is available
- ▶ Concepts allow to verify that all required operations are present

```
// Parameters a,b have no storage, just used as notation for naming requirements
// NB: this is a requires expression, not a requires clause for constraints
template<typename T> concept Addable = requires (T a, T b) {
    // Verify that a + b is a valid expression.
    a + b;
};

template<typename T>
concept Graph = requires {
    // Verify that T has a member type "node_type".
    typename T::node_type;
    // ... and require that it is an integer type.
    requires std::integral<typename T::node_type>;
};
```

Concepts: Requirements

Quiz: Which statement is correct?

```
template<typename T>
concept Graph = requires {
    typename T::node_type;
    requires std::integral<typename T::node_type>;
};

class MyGraph {
    using node_type = char;
};

static_assert(Graph<MyGraph>);
```

- A. Syntax error: cannot use concept as boolean constant expression.
- B. Assertion fails: char is not an integer.
- C. Assertion fails: node_type is private.
- D. The program compiles successfully.

Concepts: Compound Requirements

- We can also check the return type of an expression.

```
// Parameters a,b have no storage, just used as notation for naming requirements
template<typename T>
concept Addable = requires (T a, T b) {
    // Verify that a + b is a valid expression
    // ... and can be implicitly converted to T.
    { a + b } -> std::convertible_to<T>;
    // Alternatively:
    // ... and has the type T.
    { a + b } -> std::same_as<T>;
};
```

Missing Requirements

- ▶ Missing requirements cause candidate to not be selected
- ▶ But: this is not an error ↪ multiple variants can be provided

```
template <class NodeT>
concept NodeHasNumber = requires(const NodeT& n) {
    { n.getNumber() } -> std::convertible_to<unsigned>;
};

template <class NodeT>
struct NumberedGraph {
    std::unordered_map<const NodeT*, unsigned> nums;
    unsigned getNumber(const NodeT& node) requires NodeHasNumber<NodeT> {
        return node.getNumber();
    }
    unsigned getNumber(const NodeT& node) requires (!NodeHasNumber<NodeT>) {
        auto [it, inserted] = nums.try_emplace(&node, nums.size());
        return it->second;
    }
};
```

Substitution Failure Is Not An Error (SFINAE)¹⁴⁶

- ▶ If substitution of template parameters fails,
the candidate is simply discarded without an error¹⁴⁴
- ▶ Allows to implement requires in pre-C++20¹⁴⁵

```
template <class T>
std::enable_if_t<std::is_integral_v<T>, T> add(T a, T b) {
    return a + b;
}
template <class T>
std::enable_if_t<std::is_floating_point_v<T>, T> add(T a, T b) {
    return a + b;
}
```

- ▶ Prefer concepts if possible (i.e., code base uses C++20 or newer)

¹⁴⁴ See reference for details

¹⁴⁵ https://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/types/enable_if

¹⁴⁶ <https://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/language/sfinae>

Compile-Time Programming – Summary

- ▶ Attributes allow for annotation of almost all language constructs
- ▶ Most attributes are implementation-specific
- ▶ `constexpr` permits use of functions as compile-time constant expressions
- ▶ `constexpr` variables must be initialized with compile-time constant
- ▶ `consteval` functions must always be evaluated at compile-time
- ▶ `constinit` variables must have a constant initializer, but can be mutable
- ▶ Concepts can test whether certain expressions are valid
- ▶ Failing requirements or substitution failure allows for providing type-dependent implementations (or the absence thereof)

Compile-Time Programming – Questions

- ▶ What happens with unsupported attributes?
- ▶ What are use cases for implementation-specific attributes?
- ▶ When are `constexpr` function calls evaluated?
- ▶ Are non-`constexpr` functions always executed at runtime?
- ▶ What is the difference between `constexpr` and `constinit`?
- ▶ What is different between `decltype(x)` and `decltype((x))`?
- ▶ Which recent C++ constructs largely eliminate the need for template metaprogramming?