

Cloud-Based Data Processing

Introduction

Jana Giceva



About me



Jana Giceva

Chair for Database Systems

Boltzmannstr. 3, Office: 02.11.043

jana.giceva@in.tum.de

Academic Background:

- 2006 2009 BSc in Computer Science at Jacobs University Bremen
- 2009 2011 MSc in Computer Science at ETH Zurich
- 2011 2017 PhD in Computer Science at ETH Zurich (topic: DB/OS co-design)
- 2017 2019 Lecturer in Department of Computing at Imperial College London
- Since 2020 Assistant Professor for Database Systems at TUM

Connections with Industry:

- Held roles with Oracle Labs and Microsoft Research in the USA in 2013 and 2014
- PhD Fellowship from Google in 2014
- Early Career Faculty Award from VMware in 2019



What this course is about



- Learn how to design scalable and efficient cloud-native systems
 - Understand the demands of novel (data) workloads and the economies and challenges at scale
 - Get to know the internals of modern data centers and emerging technologies and trends
 - Learn the fundamental principles for building scalable system software

Build a cloud-native multi-tier data processing system:

- Work across multiple layers of the stack: storage, synchronization, caching, compute, etc.
- Tailor the system for given workload requirements: data management, ML, video streaming, etc.
- Think in terms of performance, scalability, fault tolerance, elasticity,
 high availability, cost, privacy, etc.
- Use modern cloud constructs like containers or serverless functions.

Apply the knowledge with hands-on work:

- Modular homework assignments
- Individual project work



Motivation

Motivation




- Why should we care about the cloud?
- What impact does the cloud have on system development?
- Why should we focus on data-processing in particular?

Why is Cloud important?



- The internet has around 4.5 billion users today, and the number is still growing
- Digitalization of society and the Cloud transform whole industries




How the Cloud impacts technology development? TITT

- Cloud helps in fast dissemination of new technologies
- Easy, fast and cheap exposure to new trends available for everyone

Accelerators

EC2 offers instances with the latest GPUs, custom ML inference ASICs or FPGA



Fast network interconnects

c5n.18xlarge already offers72 cores, 192 GiB memory and100Gbps network for \$3.8 per hour

Optical switches for next gen. datacenters with **400GbE**

Latest storage technologies

Google is already beta-testing Intel Pmem Optane

Microsoft's revolutionary glass storage with **Project Silica**.



Cloud providers control the full stack



- Influence the hardware landscape
 - Innovation from novel chip design, to new switches and network fabrics, incl. storage technologies
- Control the full software stack
 - they can change or customize it (OS, virtualization, containers, etc.)
- Introduce or popularize new programming methodologies and paradigms
 - Map-Reduce, actor-based programming models, microservices and serverless, etc.
- Revolutionize how we approach application design and implementation
 - Scale, elasticity, cost, privacy, etc.

How are things different at scale?



As reported by Google (slides from Jeff Dean) in 2010:

Focus is more on meeting the SLOs (service-level objectives) with respect to:

- Performance (latency)
- High availability
- Efficiency
- Elasticity

Most complexity is absorbed by the cloud system software infrastructure

The Joys of Real Hardware

Typical first year for a new cluster:

- ~1 network rewiring (rolling ~5% of machines down over 2-day span)
- ~20 rack failures (40-80 machines instantly disappear, 1-6 hours to get back)
- ~5 racks go wonky (40-80 machines see 50% packetloss)
- ~8 network maintenances (4 might cause ~30-minute random connectivity losses)
- ~12 router reloads (takes out DNS and external vips for a couple minutes)
- ~3 router failures (have to immediately pull traffic for an hour)
- ~dozens of minor 30-second blips for dns
- ~1000 individual machine failures
- ~thousands of hard drive failures
- slow disks, bad memory, misconfigured machines, flaky machines, etc.

Long distance links: wild dogs, sharks, dead horses, drunken hunters, etc.


Reliability/availability must come from software!



But it is not just scale!



- Incentives highly driven by reduction of cost
- Skeptics primarily worried about cloud's privacy and security.




Why focus on data-processing?




Surge in data volumes produced and consumed

Figure 1 - Annual Size of the Global Datasphere



https://www.seagate.com/files/www-content/our-story/trends/files/dataage-idc-report-final.pdf

- Data-processing still the dominant workload:
 - Databases, analytics, streaming, etc.



https://www.techspot.com/news/83646-companies-taking-advantage-different-cloud-options-putting-different.html



Course administrivia

Course content



- Data centers and cloud computing
- Design principles for cloud-based applications
- The OS of the data center: virtualization, containers, serverless
- Design and build scalable systems for the cloud:
 - Covering storage, consensus, databases, dataflow systems, applications
- Trends, emerging technologies and their impact on the future of cloud-systems
 - Hardware and accelerators, resource disaggregation, software-defined networking/storage

Special focus on **state-of-the-art systems** that are **used in production** e.g., Docker, Kubernetes, AWS Lambda, ZooKeeper, GFS, S3, Amazon Dynamo, Borg, Amazon Nitro, Snowflake, Amazon Redshift, etc.

Course Organization



Lecture:

- Recorded videos uploaded by Tue 6pm. Check the lecture's Moodle webpage.
- Invited talks (when scheduled) on Wednesdays at 10-12h
- Course website: http://db.in.tum.de/teaching/ws2021/clouddataprocessing/
- Please check regularly for updates

Tutorials:

- Interactive video **web-conference** at: https://bbb.rbg.tum.de/jan-xyt-tcy
- Wednesdays, 12-13h (after the lecture), will be recorded.
- TA for the course is Per Fuchs (per.fuchs@cs.tum.edu)
- First session: today for in-person introduction, Q&A session and general set-up
- Consider that exercise material is part of the course content!

Assignments and Project



- The main goal of the course is critical thinking and analyzing the main design decisions behind scalable systems and understanding what it takes to build them.
- The assignments will give you a range of different skillsets:
 - 1. Analysis on different design decisions on how to build a data processing system in the cloud
 - 2. Measurement study on existing cloud services, system design and back-of-the-envelope calc.
 - 3. Hands-on implementation of a data processing task that uses the cloud services you benchmarked.
- You can then apply them for your project in the last 5-6 weeks of the course.

Assessment and Exam



- If you do assignments + the project, you'll get bonus for the exam ©
- The exam will most likely be **oral**:
 - Using the BBB
 - In-person possible if the covid-19 situation allows it.

Course Set-up



Let's make the course as interactive as possible given the circumstances and TUM's regulations.

- During the tutorials, please speak-up, ask questions and discuss!
- Engage in asynchronous discussions on Moodle
- Send us (me and Per Fuchs) questions you want to be addressed during the tutorial sessions

The material we discuss is relevant in practice:

- We will provide examples
- You will achieve the maximum fun factor if you do the project work
- We will have a few guest speakers (also from industry):
 - Snowflake has already confirmed their guest lecture on Dec 16th.
 - Prof. Ana Klimovic (ETH Zurich, former Google Brain and Stanford) on Jan 27th.

Course material



This is not a standard course – it is state of the art (bleeding edge) systems and research

- There is no real textbook for this course, but a good overview of the principles behind building scalable systems are covered in:
 - "Designing Data-Intensive Applications" by Martin Kleppmann
 - "Azure Application Architecture Guide" by Microsoft
 - "Architecting for the Cloud" by AWS
- More on hardware- and software-virtualization is covered in:
 - "Hardware and Software Support for Virtualization" by Ed Bougnon, Jason Nieh, and Dan Tsafrir.
- The **lecture slides** are available **online**
- Most material that we are going to cover is taken out of research papers:
 - The references to those papers (all good, easy and fun! to read) will be given as we go.
 - Relevant conferences: ACM/USENIX SOSP/OSDI, ACM SOCC, USENIX ATC, NSDI, ACM EuroSys,
 ACM SIGMOD, VLDB, ACM SIGCOMM, IEEE ICDE, ACM CONEXT, etc.



Cloud-based application design

Challenges

Distributed Computing Challenges



Scalability

- Independent parallel processing of sub-requests or tasks
- E.g., adding more servers permits serving more concurrent requests

Fault Tolerance

- Must mask failures and recover from hardware and software failures
- Must replicate data and service for redundancy

High Availability

Service must operate 24/7

Consistency

Data stored / produced by multiple services must lead to consistent results

Performance

Predictable low-latency processing with high throughput


Scalability matters



Ideally, adding N more servers should support N more users!

But, **linear scalability** is **hard** to achieve:

- Overheads + synchronization
- Load-imbalances create hot-spots
 (e.g., due to popular content, poor hash function)
- Amdahl's law → a straggler slows everything down



Therefore, one needs to **partition both data** and **compute.**


Scaling computation



How do data-intensive applications scale?

- Enable task-parallel or data-parallel processing
- Frontend does the aggregation of (select top-k documents)
- Back-ends provide partial responses

e.g., Map-Reduce



Fault tolerance



- Think of failure as the common case.
- Full redundancy is too expensive → use failure recovery.
 - Impossible to build redundant systems at scale
 - Rather reduce the cost of failure recovery

- Failure recovery: **replication** or **re-computation**
 - Which one is better, depends on the respective costs
- Replication:
 - Need to replicate data and service
 - Introduces the consistency issues

- Re-computation
 - Easy for stateless services
 - Remember data lineage for compute jobs

High availability



- Downtime → bad customer experience, and loss in revenue.
- According to Gartner, a minute of IT downtime costs companies \$5'600 on average.

Cloud service providers offer service level agreements (SLAs) to their clients.

A **commitment/contract** for the **quality** of the **service** (e.g., availability, performance, etc.)

Translating downtime for a typical SLA for availability:

- 99.9% ("three nines") availability means 8.77 hours downtime per year → close to \$3 million.
- 99.99% ("four nines") availability means 52.6 minutes downtime per year → close to \$300'000.


For a **high available** service one needs to design and:

- Eliminate single point of failure by adding redundancy in the system.
- Have a reliable crossover.
- Have an efficient way to monitor and detect failures when they occur.


Consistency



Many applications need state replicated across a wide area, for reliability, availability and low latency.



- CAP Theorem: It is impossible for a distributed data store to simultaneously provide more than two out of the three guarantees:
 - Consistency
 - Availability
 - Partition tolerance



Consistency models



Two main choices:

- Strongly consistent operations (e.g., use Paxos, Raft, etc.)
 - Often at the cost of additional latency for the common case
- Inconsistent operations
 - Better performance / availability, but applications are harder to write and reason about the model

Many applications gravitate towards eventual consistency

- E.g., Gmail: marking a message as read is asynchronous, but sending a message needs to be a consistent operation
- Order of posts in **LinkedIn** news feed? Access from multiple devices?
- Count of song popularity in **Spotify**?

Performance matters



Online services (e.g., Facebook, Google search, Bing):

Expected response time < 100ms</p>

Performance affects revenue:

- Values reported 10 years ago
 - Amazon: every 100ms of latency costs them 1% in sales
 - Google found an extra 0.5 secs drops traffic by 20%
- Akamai in 2017 found that a 100ms delay in page load time results in 6% drop in sales
- Even more valid today in mobile web browsing/app responsiveness

https://www.gigaspaces.com/blog/amazon-found-every-100ms-of-latency-cost-them-1-in-sales/



The tail at scale



- At scale, looking at the average request latency is **not** enough.
- **Tail latency** = the last 0.X% of the request latency distribution graph.
 - e.g., we can take the slowest 1% response times or the 99%ile response time.
- Tail latency is **amplified** by **scale**, due to **fan-outs** for
 - Micro-services, data partitions
- Overall latency ≥ latency of the slowest component
- Servers with 1ms average, but 1sec 99%ile latency
 - 1 server: 1% of the requests take >= 1 sec
 - 100 servers: 63% of the requests take >= 1sec

The tail at scale



- Increased fan-out has a large impact on the latency distributions.
- At Google scale:
 - 10ms 99% percentile for any single request
 - The 99% percentile for all requests is 140ms and the 95% percentile is 70ms
 - Waiting for the slowest 5% of the requests accounts for half of the total 99% percentile latency.

Table 1. Individual-leaf-request finishing times for a large fan-out service tree (measured from root node of the tree).

=	50%ile latency	95%ile latency	99%ile latency
One random leaf finishes	1ms	5ms	10ms
95% of all leaf requests finish	12ms	32ms 70m	
100% of all leaf requests finish	40ms	87ms	140ms

Distributed Computing Challenges (recap)



Scalability

Being able to elastically scale (out and in) to meet the load demand is crucial.

Fault Tolerance

Accept the reality that faults are common and build for quick detection and recovery.

High Availability

Target multiple 9s availability to minimize costs for downtime.

Consistency

Embracing eventual consistency for high availability is often preferred for many use-cases.

Performance

Optimizing for tail latency is important.



Cloud-based application design

Design principles

The cloud revolution for application design



The cloud changes how applications are designed

Traditional on-premises	Modern Cloud	
Monolithic	Decomposed	
Designed for predictable scalability	Designed for elastic scale	
Relational Database	Mix of storage technologies	
Synchronized processing	Asynchronous processing	
Design to avoid failures	Design for failure recovery	
Occasional large updates	Frequent small updates	
Manual management	Automated self-management	
Snowflake servers	Immutable infrastructure	

[.] https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/azure/architecture/guide/

Design principles for cloud applications I



Design for self-healing.

In a distributed system, failures happen all the time. Design the application to be self-healing

.

Make all things redundant.

Build redundancy into your application to avoid having single points of failure.

Minimize coordination.

Minimize coordination between application services to achieve better scalability.

Design to scale out.

Design your application so that it can scale horizontally, adding or removing new instances on demand.

Partition around limits.

Use partitioning to work around database, network and compute limits.

Design principles for cloud applications II



- Use of stateless services.
 - Scaling without having a state is trivial.

Caching

- Latency is king. Caching helps to significantly reduce the job's latency.
- Use the best data store for the job.
 - Pick the storage technology that is the best fit for your data and how it will be used.

Distribute computation

Partition/Aggregate compute pattern is one that scales pretty well.

Design for evolution

An evolutionary design is key for continuous innovation.

Designing Efficient Systems



- Important skill: ability to estimate the performance of a system without actually building it!
- Do back-of-the-envelope calculations
- e.g., How long to generate image results page (with 30 256K-image thumbnails)?
 - Design 1: read 30 images serially:
 - -30*10ms/seek + 30*256K / 30MB/s = 560ms
 - Design 2: issue 30 reads in parallel:
 - -10 ms/seek + 256 K / 30 MB/s = 18 ms
- Lots of variations (caching, pre-computation, etc.)


Action	Latency [ns]
L1 cache reference	0.5
Branch mis-prediction	5
L2 cache reference	7
Mutex lock/unlock	100
Main memory reference	100
Compress 1k bytes with Zippy	10'000
Send 2k bytes over 1Gbps network	20'000
Read 1MB sequentially from memory	250'000
Round trip within the same datacenter	500'000
Disk seek	10'000'000
Read 1MB sequentially from network	10'000'000
Read 1MB sequentially from disk	30'000'000
Send packet CA -> Netherlands -> CA	150'000'000

Abstractions for Scalable Systems



e.g., Google uses several layers of abstraction

- Runs applications (e.g., search, mail, etc.) on top of the highest level
- Each layer is scalable, network-aware and fault-tolerant



- Know the basic building blocks (e.g., language libraries, data structures, indexing systems, datastores).
 - Not just their interfaces, but understand their implementation (at least at a high level)
 - If you do not know what's going on, you cannot do decent back-of-the-envelope calculations!

Modern Scalable Distributed Systems Stacks



The whole spectrum is a lot more diverse, but just as a high-level overview

Applications (e.g., Gmail, Facebook, mobile apps, etc.)						
Files, dirs	put, get	lock, unlock	tasks	enq., deq.		
Distributed file system (GFS, HDFS, NFS)	Distributed KV store (S3,Dynamo, Cassandra)	Distributed locking service (Chubby, ZooKeeper)	Distributed computing (Spark, MapReduce)	Message Queues (Amazon SQS)		
Networking stack (TCP, UDP, QUIC)						

Plus, many internal services for auto-scaling, monitoring, caching, security, etc.

Google/FB/Amazon System Design Interview



- Design a scalable service: e.g., Dropbox, Instagram, Twitter, YouTube/Netflix, etc.
- Typical steps:
 - 1. Find the **requirements** and **goals** of the system (e.g., **functional**, **non-functional**)
 - 2. Figure out the **workloads** the system should be optimized for (e.g., is it a read-heavy workload, etc.)
 - 3. Do a **back-of-the-envelope calculations** for estimated storage capacity needs
 - 4. High-level system design
 - 5. Do the database schema based on the functional requirements
 - 6. Do the large-scale system design based on the non-functional requirements
 - How do you scale the system?
 - How can you make it reliable and redundant?
 - How would you do data sharding?
 - Cache and load balancing?
 - 7. How can you **implement** the **functional compute** requirements in the scaled system



Cloud-based application design

Data Infrastructure

Data infrastructure for the cloud



- Need to account for the full lifecycle of data
 - Meet the requirements of each stage: ingestion, storage, processing, and visualization.




- Coordinate the efficient flow of data between stages
- Efficient execution of computations using the data.

https://a16z.com/2020/10/15/the-emerging-architectures-formodern-data-infrastructure/

Unified Architecture for Data Infrastructure



Excluding transactional systems (OLTP), log processing, and SaaS analytics applications.



References



In addition to cross-references provided in the slides

Some material based on:

- Lecture notes by Prof. Peter Pietzuch (Imperial)
- "Software Engineering Advice for Building Large-Scale Distributed Systems" by Jeff Dean (Google)
- "Building Large-Scale Internet Services" by Jeff Dean (Google) (link)
- "Azure Application Architecture Guide" by Microsoft (<u>link</u>)
- "Architecting for the Cloud" by AWS (link)